

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/053,646	01/24/2002	Jeff Thornton	000515-237 3600		
75	90 09/28/2004	EXAMINER			
William C. Ro		LEE, RIP A			
·	NE, SWECKER & MATI	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
P.O. Box 1404 Alexandria, VA 22313-1404			1713		
-			DATE MAILED: 09/28/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/053,64	10/053,646 THORN		NTON ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Rip A. Lee		1713				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress			
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replay period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no even ply within the statu I will apply and wite, cause the appl	ent, however, may a reply be time story minimum of thirty (30) days Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this o	ely. communication.			
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 J	July 200 <u>4</u> .						
2a)⊠	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This action is non-final.							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠ 5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 21,22 and 24-45 is/are pending in th 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) 38 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 21,22,24-30,35 and 39-45 is/are rejected Claim(s) 31-34, 36,37 and 39 is/are objected Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from cor ected. to.	nsideration.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examin-	er.						
10)	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	-			• •			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureasee the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have bee nts have bee ority docume au (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Application ents have been receive e 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	l Stage			
Attachmen								
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) 🔲 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 er No(s)/Mail Date	3)	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		O-152)			

Art Unit: 1713

DETAILED ACTION

This office action follows a response filed on July 2, 2004. Applicants have amended claims 21, 24-26, 29, 31, 35, 37, 38, and 42. Claim 23 was canceled.

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 39 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim, or amend the claim to place the claim in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim in independent form. Claim 39 is drawn to a process in which hydrophobic interation between nitroxyl radical and solid sorbent takes place in a liquid-liquid extraction step. According to the specification, page 5, lines 20-23, this aspect of the invention occurs in *absence* of solid sorbent. As such, claim 39 fails to limit further the subject matter of claim 21. See also paragraph 4, *infra*.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. Claims 29 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The amended phrase, "high in comparison with the vapor pressure of water" remains vague and indefinite because the vapor pressure range is not specified unambiguously. Such a term includes values less than and greater than $VP_{\rm H2O}$. Furthermore, vapor pressure is a temperature dependent parameter. Consequently, citing vapor pressure without the corresponding temperature is meaningless.

Art Unit: 1713

4. Claims 39-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The relationship of the subject matter of claim 42 with that of independent claim 21 is not clear. Claim 42 is drawn to a process in which hydrophobic interation between nitroxyl radical and solid sorbent takes place in a liquid-liquid extraction step. According to the specification, page 5, lines 20-23, organic solvent is added to a reaction mixture to remove TEMPO selectively in *absence* of solid sorbent. See also paragraph 2, *supra*.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. Claims 21-30 and 43-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,335,464 to Ochi *et al.* for the same reasons set forth in the previous office action.

Briefly, Ochi *et al.* discloses a process in which TEMPO is separated adsorptively from aqueous reaction mixture by addition of a synthetic resin such as Amberlite resin XAD-2 (Example 3). Example 5 shows that synthetic resins having TEMPO adsorbed thereon can be reused continually. These resins may be used as a stationary phase for chromatographic purposes (see Referential examples, cols. 10-11, and col. 6, lines 57-64). The amine oxide may be desorbed and recovered from the resin using water-miscible sovents such as THF, acetone, or lower alcohols (col. 7, lines 37-44).

Art Unit: 1713

6. Claims 31-34, 36, and 37 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base

claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the

limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 31-34 and 36 recite use of silica gel as the solid sorbent, and claim 37 is

drawn to separating nirotxyl radical by hydrophobic interaction in a precipitation step.

Neither of these aspects of the present invention is taught or reasonably suggested in Ochi

et al.

7. Claim 38 is allowed over the cited prior art, as indicated in the previous office

action. Claim 38 is drawn to a method in which nitroxyl radicals are recovered by

dissolving β-cyclodextrin in a reaction mixture containing nitroxyl radical, thereby

forming a nitroxyl-cyclodextrin complex which precipates from the reaction mixture.

Ochi et al. teaches separation of nitroxyl radicals by adsorption onto synthetic polymeric

resins. As these materials are disparate from β-cyclodextrin, one having ordinary skill in

the art would not have found it obvious to arrive at the claimed invention from the prior

art.

Art Unit: 1713

Response to Arguments

8. Applicants traverse the rejection of claims 21-30 and 43-45 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ochi *et al*. Applicant's arguments have been considered fully, but they are not persuasive. Applicants submit that Ochi *et al*. does not teach the present invention because: (i) the prior art discloses selective oxidation using a resin having an amine oxide adsorbed therein, and (ii) stable nitroxyl radicals are not separated from a reaction mixture.

That the prior art teaches oxidation reaction is irrelevant to the claimed subject matter. However, Applicants have accepted the notion that the prior art discloses adsorption of nitroxyl radicals onto solid sorbent. Contrary to statement (*ii*), Ochi *et al.* does teach separation of nitroxyl radicals from a reaction mixture. As seen in Example 3, once oxidation has been performed, polyacrylic resin is added to the reaction mixture to adsorb TEMPO (col. 9, line 37). This in effect, constitutes separation from a reaction mixture because the resin containing TEMPO is filtered from the reaction mixture.

Should Applicants be concerned with the preamble in which the nitroxyl/silica combination be catalytically active, the examiner points to the rejection in which example 5 was cited to support this notion. Here, the patent shows that synthetic resins having TEMPO adsorbed thereon can be reused continually. That is, catalytic activity is retained since the nitroxyl radical has not been quenched.

In view of this and previous discussions, the rejection of record has not been withdrawn.

Art Unit: 1713

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to the rejection of claims 31-36 as being unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) over Ochi *et al.* in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,448,267 to Anggard *et al.* have been fully considered and are persuasive. Applicant have shown conclusively that there is no motivation to combine references. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn.

10. All previous claim objections and claim rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph have been overcome appropriately by amendment.

Conclusion

11. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1713

Prior Art

The prior art made of record but not relied upon is considered pertinent to the Applicant's disclosure.

Kotake *et al.* (*J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1989) teaches inclusion of nitroxide radicals by a β -cyclodextrin in water. The complex remains in solution and the kinetics of inclusion were monitored spectroscopically. There is no teaching of use of β -cyclodextrin for separating nitroxides from solution by inclusion phenomena.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rip A. Lee whose telephone number is (571)272-1104. The examiner can be reached on Monday through Friday from 9:00 AM - 5:00 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu, can be reached at (571)272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on the access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll free).

ral

September 13, 2004

TATYANA ZALUKAEVA PRIMARY EXAMINER Galukor